

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,554	12/09/2005	David Uy	B010010	6058
Bryan A Shang	7590 10/02/2007		EXAM	INER
Abb Inc			BAHTA, KIDEST	
940 Main Cam Ste 500	pus Drive		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Raleigh, NC 27606			2125	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/525,554	UY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kidest Bahta	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORT WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLOYER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Exports of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. (b) depression of the maximum statutory period eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing entitlem adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. limely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•		•				
1)□ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)☐ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Sin	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Cla)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) <u></u> Cla	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∏ Cla	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)∏ The	specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[The	oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.[1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.∑	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•	•						
Attachment(s)							
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Informatio	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2125

`Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-15 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wright et al. (US 6,973,589).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 22, Wright discloses that a field drop coupled to each element (Fig. 1), the field drop for monitoring the element, reporting status data corresponding to the element (Fig. 3), receiving control information for controlling the element (abstract), and in fact controlling such element based on such control information (Fig. 1-3); a local area network (LAN) coupling each field drop to a hub (column 4, lines 1-41); a data server operatively coupled to the hub of the LAN, the data server for receiving the status data from each field drop and taking any appropriate action necessary in response thereto, the data server also allowing a user to access any particular field drop of the system to read data for the corresponding element, and to issue control commands for the element to be carried out by the corresponding field drop (column 4, lines 33-60; column 5, lines 35-61).

Application/Control Number: 10/525,554 Page 3

Art Unit: 2125

Regarding claims 2-7, 9-16, and 23-25, Wright discloses,

2. The system of claim 1 wherein each field drop is an Intelligent Electronic

Device (IED) (105).

3. The system of claim 2 wherein each IED is a generic device deployable to any

of several elements at the power facility (column 4, lines 33-41; elements 125,

130, 135).

4. The system of claim 3 wherein each IED includes configuration information

identifying a configuration of the IED, and wherein the data server queries such

IED for such configuration information and stores same for later use (column 7,

lines 24-50, column 6, lines 6, column 44-57).

5. The system of claim 1 wherein the data server is local to the LAN at the power

facility (column 5, lines 36-60, Fig. 1).

6. The system of claim 1 wherein the data server is remote from the LAN at the

power facility and coupled thereto by way of a communications network (Fig. 1).

7. The system of claim 1 wherein the data server and each field drop

Art Unit: 2125

communicate with each other by way of a TCP/IP communications protocol (column 6, lines 15-24).

- 9. The system of claim 8 wherein the data server is configured to know locally how to connect to each LAN, whereby a user requesting to get data from or give a command to a particular element at a particular facility need not be concerned with establishing the actual connection or deciding on a communications protocol.
- 10. The system of claim 1 wherein the data server receives each command from the user as an HTTP request and forwards same to an appropriate field drop (column 2, lines 21-30).
- 11. The system of claim 1 wherein each field drop reports status data and other data to the data server as an HTML/XML page (column 2, lines 21-30).
- 12. The system of claim 1 wherein each field drop includes configuration information identifying a configuration of the field drop, and wherein the data server queries such field drop for such configuration information and stores same for later use (column 6, lines 44-54).
- 13. The system of claim 1 wherein each field drop is substantially continuously

Art Unit: 2125

connected to the data server by way of the LAN (column 4, lines 43-62).

- 14. The system of claim 1 wherein the data server stores data as received from each field drop for retrieval by the user (column 7, lines 33-35).
- 15. The system of claim 1 wherein the LAN is a wireless LAN, and wherein each of each field drop and the hub of the LAN includes a wireless transceiver for communicating within the wireless LAN (column 5, lines 36-60).
- 16. The system of claim 15 wherein the wireless LAN is implemented in a gigahertz frequency range (it is inherent wireless communication use Ghz).
- 23. The method of claim 22 comprising the field drop sending an HTTP configuration request to the data server (column 2, lines 23-31).
- 24. The method of claim 22 comprising the field drop sending the requested configuration information to the data server as part of an HTTP request (column 2, lines 32-31).
- 25. The method of claim 22 comprising the field drop sending the requested configuration information to the data server as a preformatted web page 9column 6, lines 30-35).

Art Unit: 2125

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright et al. (US 6,973,589) in view of Lau. (US. 6,633,998).

Regarding claim 17, Wright discloses method in connection with a system in connection with a power facility having at least one power element, the system for monitoring and/or controlling at least a portion of the power facility and comprising a field drop coupled to each element and a data server operatively coupled to the hub of the LAN, the method for reporting a monitored event requiring timely attention, the method comprising: executing an application at a field drop to monitor a value as obtained by such field drop (column 10, lines 36-60; column 7, lines 50-65; column 6, lines 37-42); the field drop of the application sending the generated request to the data server (column 5, lines 16-35); the data server receiving the sent request (Fig. 1); and the data server based on the sent request taking a programmed action (Fig. 3).

Art Unit: 2125

Wright fails to disclose the application detecting a triggering event when the monitored value exceeds a predetermined threshold; the application generating a request containing event information pertaining to the triggering event.

Lau discloses the application detecting a triggering event when the monitored value exceeds a predetermined threshold (Column 1 and 2); the application generating a request containing event information pertaining to the triggering event (abstract).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the teachings of Wright with the teachings of Lau in order to maintain a central control program, get status updates from the IED, or note local changes of configuration settings of the IED as they are being issued by others.

As regarding claims 18-21, Wright discloses,

- 18. The method of claim 17 comprising the application generating an HTTP request containing the event information (column 6, lines 9-21, Fig. 3, element 315).
- 19. The method of claim 18 comprising the application generating the HTTP request including an event-handling application for the data server to execute and the event information formatted according to a format amenable to the event-handling application (Fig. 4; column 4, lines 42-62).
- 20. The method of claim 19 further comprising the data server executing the event-handling application and passing the formatted event information thereto (Fig. 3, element 315).
- 21. The method of claim 17 comprising the data server based on the sent request taking

Art Unit: 2125

a programmed action comprising a member selected from a group consisting of

notifying a user of the event, and determining a course of action for a field drop to take

and commanding such field drop to in fact take such course of action (column 4, lines

42-62).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed Kidest Bahta whose telephone number is 571-272-3737.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application information Retrieval IPAIRI system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAG system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-fee).

Kidest Bahta

Page 8

KIDEST BAHTA PRIMARY EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**